RECEIVED



DONOVAN E. WALKER Lead Counsel dwalker@idahopower.com 2015 MAR 19 PM 4: 18

IDAHO PUBLIC
UTILITIES COMMISSION

March 19, 2015

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Jean D. Jewell, Secretary Idaho Public Utilities Commission 472 West Washington Street Boise, Idaho 83702

Re:

Case Nos. IPC-E-15-01, AVU-E-15-01, and PAC-E-15-03

Modify Terms and Conditions of PURPA Purchase Agreements – Idaho Power Company's Answer to Clearwater Paper Corporation and J. R. Simplot Company's Joint Petition for Clarification and Cross-petition for

Clarification of Order No. 33222

Dear Ms. Jewell:

Enclosed for filing in the above matter please find an original and seven (7) copies of Idaho Power Company's Answer to Clearwater Paper Corporation and J. R. Simplot Company's Joint Petition for Clarification and Cross-petition for Clarification of Order No. 33222.

Very truly yours,

Donovan E. Walker

DEW:csb Enclosures DONOVAN E. WALKER (ISB No. 5921) Idaho Power Company 1221 West Idaho Street (83702) P.O. Box 70

Boise, Idaho 83707

Telephone: (208) 388-5317 Facsimile: (208) 388-6936 dwalker@idahopower.com

Attorney for Idaho Power Company

RECEIVED

2015 MAR 19 PM 4:18

UTILITIES COMMISSION

BEFORE THE IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF IDAHO POWER COMPANY'S PETITION TO MODIFY TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF PURPA PURCHASE AGREEMENTS

CASE NO. IPC-E-15-01

IN THE MATTER OF AVISTA CORPORATION'S PETITION TO MODIFY TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF PURPA PURCHASE AGREEMENTS

CASE NO. AVU-E-15-01

IN THE MATTER OF ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER COMPANY'S PETITION TO MODIFY TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF PURPA PURCHASE AGREEMENTS

CASE NO. PAC-E-15-03

IDAHO POWER COMPANY'S
ANSWER TO CLEARWATER PAPER
CORPORATION AND J. R. SIMPLOT
COMPANY'S JOINT PETITION FOR
CLARIFICATION AND CROSSPETITION FOR CLARIFICATION OF
ORDER NO. 33222

Idaho Power Company ("Idaho Power"), in accordance with RP 325 and the Idaho Public Utilities Commission's ("Commission") Order No. 33253, hereby responds

IDAHO POWER COMPANY'S ANSWER TO CLEARWATER PAPER CORPORATION AND J. R. SIMPLOT COMPANY'S JOINT PETITION FOR CLARIFICATION AND CROSS-PETITION FOR CLARIFICATION OF ORDER NO. 33222 - 1

to the Petition for Clarification and Cross-petition for Clarification of Order No. 33222 filed by Clearwater Paper Corporation ("Clearwater") and J. R. Simplot Company ("Simplot") (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Petitioners").

Petitioners seek to have the Commission's interim Order No. 33222's reduction in maximum contract term limited to wind and solar qualifying facilities ("QF"). Petitioners' arguments falter for several reasons and their requested clarification should be denied.

First, Petitioners attempt to mischaracterize Idaho Power's Petition as only discussing and addressing problems associated with wind and solar QFs, and further imply that other resources somehow do not contribute to any of the problems identified in the Petition. This is far from the truth. The current harm to Idaho Power customers as a result of the required contracts with Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978 ("PURPA") QF projects, as well as the risk and additional long-term harm to customers from the same, is contributed to by all PURPA QF projects no matter what resource type they utilize and no matter what size they happen to be. This is a case about contract term, not about integration of intermittent resources. Resource type makes no difference. What does make a difference is the magnitude of impact from the size of the project and the potential for billions of dollars of unneeded additional resources that Idaho Power customers may be obligated to pay for over the next 20 years. Frankly, the large number of small QF projects, predominately small hydro projects, while contributing to the identified problems in the same manner as large projects, has a minimal impact because their size and potential dollar impact to customers is small. However, even one large project over the published rate eligibility cap has a large impact and an even larger potential impact over the course of 20 years upon Idaho Power customers.

The proportionately different impact from intermittent wind and solar QFs, as well as their unique ability to disaggregate themselves into smaller size increments to game the system of published rates, has been recognized in the existing smaller published rate eligibility cap of 100 kilowatts for wind and solar QFs. The published rate eligibility cap is an appropriate distinction to make with regard to contract term as the published rate eligibility cap is an existing point of division where the Commission has previously determined that larger projects should be subject to a more accurate methodology for estimating avoided costs, recognizing the larger impact that large projects can have upon the utility and its customers.

Secondly, Petitioners make an outlandish claim that "None of Idaho Power's arguments apply to base-load facilities utilizing waste heat, biomass, or industrial cogeneration such as Clearwater's base-load capacity non-intermittent QF project or Simplot's existing base-load industrial cogeneration facility." Petitioners' Petition, p. 3. This could not be further from the truth. The referenced cogeneration QFs provide a very large amount of energy deliveries on a predominately flat level, whether that energy is needed by the utility or not. If anything, the harmful impacts identified in Idaho Power's Petition are amplified for a large cogeneration QF because it will deliver unneeded energy to the utility on a more consistent and regular basis than an intermittent wind or solar QF would be expected to deliver. A cogeneration QF that exceeds the published rate eligibility cap would potentially provide enormous amounts of around-the-clock energy, not just during daylight hours like a large solar QF. A

cogeneration QF's ability to provide a very large amount of energy during light load hours when the utility needs no additional resources is potentially more harmful and impactful to customers—especially when escalated over the course of a 20-year lock-in of the rate—than even a wind or solar QF of equivalent size.

Idaho Power has had three recent pricing inquiries from large non-wind or solar QF projects: one from Clearwater, one from Simplot, and one from a 38 megawatts ("MW") hydro facility. Clearwater was provided with indicative pricing from the incremental cost, Integrated Resource Plan methodology and informed Idaho Power that it did not wish to pursue a contract with Idaho Power. Clearwater has contracted this facility with Avista in the past. Simplot submitted an incomplete request for indicative pricing for a new 25 MW cogeneration QF facility under Idaho Power's Schedule 73. Simplot has not submitted complete information to enable indicative pricing to be completed. Petitioners refer to Simplot's QF cogeneration facility at its Pocatello plant. The Commission, just this month, approved the most recent contract for this facility—which has a term of one year. This facility has always entered into short-term contracts with Idaho Power. The previous contract was for a term of twoyears, effective February 2013; the contract before that was for a term of seven years, effective February 2006; before that, the contract was for a term of one year, effective March 2004; and prior to that, a contract for five years, effective January 1991; and from 1986 to 1991 the facility was under contract for non-firm, as-delivered prices under Schedule 86. Even though the nameplate capacity of this project is 15.9 MW, the facility has always done a published rate contract, which requires its operation to be limited to 10 aMW monthly. This project, if it continues to do published-rate contracts,

would not be affected by the Commission's current five-year contract term limitation in any event.

Lastly, Petitioners' arguments are procedurally inappropriate for a clarification of the Commission's interim order. In fact, the additional briefing and additional rebuttal filing scheduled for Petitioners' claims is arguably inappropriate as well. Petitioners' attempt to make new substantive arguments, which may be relevant to their substantive positions in the case as a whole but have little to do with a clarification of the Commission's initial interlocutory order. The only relevant question should be: What did the Commission intend to do/say in its Order No. 33222 wherein it limited the maximum contract for all new PURPA QF contracts to five years. Yesterday, on March 18, 2015, the Commission clarified that is prior limitation of maximum contract term to five years, which applied to all PURPA QF projects, only applies to new PURPA contracts that exceed the published rate eligibility cap. Order No. 33253, pp. 6-7. As stated above, this is an existing point of division where the Commission has previously recognized that larger projects should have a more accurate rate determination because of their potentially larger impact upon customers. This is also consistent with Idaho Power's Petition which limited its requested relief to only those projects that exceed the published rate eligibility cap.

CONCLUSION

Idaho Power respectfully requests that Petitioners' request for further clarification be denied. As demonstrated above, Petitioners' arguments that Idaho Power's Petition only applies to wind and solar, and that non-wind and solar projects do not contribute to the identified customer harm and risk, are without merit. The Commission has clarified

its initial interim order by restricting the five-year contract term to large projects that exceed the published rate eligibility cap. The further restriction to only wind and solar QFs requested by Petitioners would be harmful to customers. Idaho Power requests that Petitioners' request be denied.

Respectfully submitted this 19th day of March 2015.

DÓNOVAN E. WALKER

Attorney for Idaho Power Company

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 19th day of March 2015 I served a true and correct copy of IDAHO POWER COMPANY'S ANSWER TO CLEARWATER PAPER CORPORATION AND J. R. SIMPLOT COMPANY'S JOINT PETITION FOR CLARIFICATION AND CROSS-PETITION FOR CLARIFICATION OF ORDER NO. 33222 upon the following named parties by the method indicated below, and addressed to the following:

Commission Staff Donald L. Howell, II Daphne Huang Deputy Attorneys General Idaho Public Utilities Commission 472 West Washington (83702) P.O. Box 83720 Boise, Idaho 83720-0074	X_ Hand DeliveredU.S. MailOvernight MailFAXX_ Email don.howell@puc.idaho.gov daphne.huang@puc.idaho.gov
J. R. Simplot Company and Clearwater Paper Corporation Peter J. Richardson Gregory M. Adams RICHARDSON ADAMS, PLLC 515 North 27 th Street (83702) P.O. Box 7218 Boise, Idaho 83707	Hand Delivered X U.S. Mail Overnight Mail FAX X Email peter@richardsonadams.com greg@richardsonadams.com
Dr. Don Reading 6070 Hill Road Boise, Idaho 83703	Hand DeliveredHand DeliveredU.S. MailOvernight MailFAXX_Emaildreading@mindspring.com
Clearwater Paper Corporation ELECTRONIC MAIL ONLY Carol Haugen Clearwater Paper Corporation	Hand DeliveredU.S. MailOvernight MailFAXX_Email_carol.haugen@clearwaterpaper.com
Intermountain Energy Partners, LLC; AgPower DCD, LLC; and AgPower Jerome, LLC Dean J. Miller McDEVITT & MILLER, LLP 420 West Bannock Street (83702) P.O. Box 2564	Hand Delivered X U.S. Mail Overnight Mail FAX X Email joe@mcdevitt-miller.com heather@mcdevitt-miller.com

IDAHO POWER COMPANY'S ANSWER TO CLEARWATER PAPER CORPORATION AND J. R. SIMPLOT COMPANY'S JOINT PETITION FOR CLARIFICATION AND CROSS-PETITION FOR CLARIFICATION OF ORDER NO. 33222 - 7

Boise, Idaho 83701

Intermountain Energy Partners, LLC Leif Elgethun, PE, LEED AP Intermountain Energy Partners, LLC P.O. Box 7354 Boise, Idaho 83707	Hand Delivered X U.S. Mail Overnight Mail FAX X Email leif@sitebasedenergy.com
AgPower DCD, LLC, and AgPower Jerome, LLC Andrew Jackura Camco Clean Energy 9360 Station Street, Suite 375 Lone Tree, Colorado 80124	Hand Delivered X U.S. Mail Overnight Mail FAX X Email andrew.jackura@camcocleanenergy.com
Idaho Conservation League and Sierra Club Benjamin J. Otto Idaho Conservation League 710 North 6 th Street (83702) P.O. Box 844 Boise, Idaho 83701	Hand Delivered X U.S. Mail Overnight Mail FAX X Email botto@idahoconservation.org
Sierra Club Matt Vespa Sierra Club 85 Second Street, Second Floor San Francisco, California 94105	Hand Delivered X U.S. Mail Overnight Mail FAX X Email matt.vespa@sierraclub.org
Snake River Alliance Kelsey Jae Nunez Snake River Alliance 223 North 6 th Street, Suite 317 P.O. Box 1731 Boise, Idaho 83701	Hand Delivered X U.S. Mail Overnight Mail FAX X Email knunez@snakeriveralliance.org
ELECTRONIC MAIL ONLY Ken Miller Snake River Alliance	Hand DeliveredU.S. MailOvernight MailFAXX_Email_kmiller@snakeriveralliance.org
PacifiCorp d/b/a Rocky Mountain Power Daniel E. Solander Yvonne R. Hogle Rocky Mountain Power	Hand DeliveredU.S. MailOvernight MailFAX

IDAHO POWER COMPANY'S ANSWER TO CLEARWATER PAPER CORPORATION AND J. R. SIMPLOT COMPANY'S JOINT PETITION FOR CLARIFICATION AND CROSS-PETITION FOR CLARIFICATION OF ORDER NO. 33222 - 8

201 South Main Street, Suite 2400

Salt Lake City, Utah 84111

X Email daniel.solander@pacificorp.com

yvonne.hogle@pacificorp.com

Ted Weston Rocky Mountain Power 201 South Main Street, Suite 2300 Salt Lake City, Utah 84111	Hand Delivered X U.S. Mail Overnight Mail FAX X Email ted.weston@pacificorp.com
ELECTRONIC MAIL ONLY Data Request Response Center PacifiCorp	Hand DeliveredU.S. MailOvernight MailFAXX_Email_datarequest@pacificorp.com
Twin Falls Canal Company, North Side Canal Company, and American Falls Reservoir District No. 2 C. Tom Arkoosh ARKOOSH LAW OFFICES 802 West Bannock Street, Suite 900 (83702) P.O. Box 2900 Boise, Idaho 83701	Hand DeliveredX_U.S. MailOvernight MailFAXX_Email_tom.arkoosh@arkoosh.com
ELECTRONIC MAIL ONLY Erin Cecil ARKOOSH LAW OFFICES	Hand DeliveredU.S. MailOvernight MailFAXX_Email_erin.cecil@arkoosh.com
Avista Corporation Michael G. Andrea Avista Corporation 1411 East Mission Avenue, MSC-23 Spokane, Washington 99202	Hand DeliveredXU.S. MailOvernight MailFAXX_ Email _michael.andrea@avistacorp.com
Clint Kalich Avista Corporation 1411 East Mission Avenue, MSC-7 Spokane, Washington 99202	Hand Delivered X U.S. Mail Overnight Mail FAX X Email clint.kalich@avistacorp.com linda.gervais@avistacorp.com
Idaho Irrigation Pumpers Association, Inc. Eric L. Olsen RACINE, OLSON, NYE, BUDGE & BAILEY CHARTERED 201 East Center P.O. Box 1391	Hand Delivered X U.S. Mail Overnight Mail FAX X Email elo@racinelaw.net

IDAHO POWER COMPANY'S ANSWER TO CLEARWATER PAPER CORPORATION AND J. R. SIMPLOT COMPANY'S JOINT PETITION FOR CLARIFICATION AND CROSS-PETITION FOR CLARIFICATION OF ORDER NO. 33222 - 9

Pocatello, Idaho 83204-1391

Anthony Yankel 29814 Lake Road Bay Village, Ohio 44140	Hand Delivered X U.S. Mail Overnight Mail FAX X Email tony@yankel.net
Renewable Energy Coalition Ronald L. Williams WILLIAMS BRADBURY, P.C. 1015 West Hays Street Boise, Idaho 83702	Hand Delivered X U.S. Mail Overnight Mail FAX X Email ron@williamsbradbury.com
Irion Sanger SANGER LAW, P.C. 1117 SW 53 rd Avenue Portland, Oregon 97215	Hand Delivered X U.S. Mail Overnight Mail FAX X Email irion@sanger-law.com
The Amalgamated Sugar Company Scott Dale Blickenstaff The Amalgamated Sugar Company, LLC 1951 South Saturn Way, Suite 100 Boise, Idaho 83702	Hand DeliveredX_U.S. MailOvernight MailFAXX_Email_sblickenstaff@amalsugar.com
Micron Technology, Inc. Richard E. Malmgren Micron Technology, Inc. 800 South Federal Way Boise, Idaho 83716	Hand DeliveredX_U.S. MailOvernight MailFAXX_Email_remalmgren@micron.com
Frederick J. Schmidt Pamela S. Howland HOLLAND & HART, LLP 377 South Nevada Street Carson City, Nevada 89701	Hand DeliveredX_U.S. MailOvernight MailFAXX_Email_fschmidt@hollandhart.com phowland@hollandhart.com

Christa Bearry, Legal Assistant